**Fast Stop Car Semester 1 Final Paper**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **F** | **EARNED** |
| Experimental process /40 | -Explains how your thinking process changed from the first attempt of the marshmallow challenge through each of the other challenges (cards, bridge, planes, car)- why the process you used for the fast stop car is better than where you started- what you can continue to do to improve your process for the future projects-Focuses on the thinking process behind decision making and information processing.-Includes the thought process on how you approach problems, determining what the most important problems are. | Above C and below A level | - does not address that the experimental process as something that is being iterated between the challenges. - does not address the “WHY” aspect of what is effective/not effective in your experimental process | - relies heavily on narration of the product development.- does not include much thought process | Below the D level | /40 |
| Notebooking process/40 | -How has notebooking for you change from card houses through to fast stop car.- what worked and what didn’t work. **WHY?****-why** did you make the changes that you made.- **how can you make notebooking more useful / more convenient in the future** (physical changes but also how you use your notebook)- how are you thinking about the notebook to use it to guide your decision making, have you been? How can you more effectively utilize your notebook. | Above C and below A level | - does not address that the notebooking process as something that is being iterated between the challenges - does not address the “WHY” aspect of the effectiveness of your notebooking process. | - relies heavily on narrating what was written in the notebook | Below the D level | /40 |
| Research process/40 | - what changes did you make to your research process from bridge building to fast stop car.- What process did you do to make your research effected your experimentation? What about it worked, what didn’t? **WHY?**- how did you decide what to research. Why was that effective or why was it not effective.- how can you improve your research process for the next challenge? | Above C and below A level | - Does not address the research process as something that is being iterated between challenges. - does not heavily explain the “why” aspect of the “A” level research process  | - relies heavily on narrating what was researched  | Below the D level | /40 |
| Science content learned/40 | - includes a work cited- Includes in text citations- uses research learned to explain why their design worked / didn’t work with these topics+ gravitational potential energy+ kinetic energy + friction (application, reduction, importance)+ momentum+ your power source method | Above C and below A level | - includes adequate aeronautics understanding and concepts- work is not cited- does not explain why content effected success/failure of plane | Does not include enough concepts  | Below the D level | /40 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | /160 |